

Male Circumcision Practice Guidance

Introduction

Male circumcision is the surgical removal of the foreskin of the penis. The procedure is performed for medical reasons or requested for social, cultural or religious reasons (e.g. by families who practice Judaism or Islam). There are parents who request circumcision for assumed medical benefits.

There is no requirement in law for professionals undertaking male circumcision to be medically trained or to have proven expertise. Traditionally, religious leaders or respected elders may conduct this practice.

Unlike female genital mutilation, male circumcision is not an illegal act in itself and is not normally a child protection or safeguarding issue. This procedure provides practitioners in Northamptonshire with an understanding of when male circumcision may be a safeguarding issue and how to respond when such concerns arise

Circumcision for Therapeutic/Medical Purposes

The British Association of Pediatric Surgeons advises that there is rarely a clinical indication for circumcision. Doctors should be aware of this and reassure parents accordingly.

Where parents request circumcision for their son for assumed medical reasons, it is recommended that circumcision should be performed by or under the supervision of doctors trained in children's surgery in premises suitable for surgical procedures.

Doctors/health professionals should ensure that any parents seeking circumcision for their son in the belief that it confers health benefits are fully informed that there is a lack of professional consensus as to current evidence demonstrating any benefits. The risks / benefits to the child must be fully explained to the parents and to the young person himself, if Gillick Competent to ensure informed consent and that the voice of the child is heard.

The medical harms or benefits have not been unequivocally proven except to the extent that there are clear risks of harm if the procedure is done inexpertly.

Non-therapeutic Circumcision

Male circumcision that is performed for any reason other than physical clinical need is termed non-therapeutic circumcision. The National Health Service (NHS) will not offer circumcision for non-therapeutic circumcision.

Legal Position

The legal position on male circumcision is untested and therefore remains unclear. Nevertheless, professionals may assume that the procedure is lawful provided that:

- It is performed competently, in a suitable environment, reducing risks of infection, cross infection and contamination;

- It is believed to be in the child's best interests

If doctors or other professionals are in any doubt about the legality of their actions, they should seek legal advice.

Principles of Good Practice

The welfare of the child should be paramount, and all professionals must act in the child's best interests. Children who are able to express views about circumcision should always be involved in the decision-making process:

- Even where they do not decide for themselves, the views that children express are important in determining what is in their best interests;
- Parental preference alone does not constitute sufficient grounds for performing a surgical procedure on a child unable to express his own view. Parental preference must be weighed in terms of the child's interests;
- When the courts have confirmed that the child's lifestyle and likely upbringing are relevant factors to take into account;
- Each individual case needs to be considered on its own merits.

An assessment of best interests in relation to non-therapeutic circumcision should include consideration of:

- The child's own ascertainable wishes, feelings and values.
- The child's ability to understand what is proposed and weigh up the alternatives.
- The child's potential to participate in the decision, if provided with additional support or explanations.
- The child's physical and emotional needs.
- The risk of harm or suffering for the child;
- The views of parents and family.
- The implications for the child and family of performing, and not performing, the procedure;
- Relevant information about the child and family's religious or cultural background.

Consent for circumcision is valid only where the people (or person) giving consent have the authority to do so and understand the implications (including that it is non-reversible procedure) and risks. Where people with Parental Responsibility for a child disagree about whether he should be circumcised, the child should not be circumcised without the leave of the court.

Doctors' Response

Doctors are under no obligation to comply with a request to circumcise a child and circumcision is not a service which is provided free of charge. Nevertheless, some doctors and hospitals are willing

to provide circumcision without charge rather than risk the procedure being carried out in unhygienic conditions.

Poorly performed circumcisions have legal implications for the doctor responsible. In responding to requests to perform male circumcision, doctors should follow any guidance issued by the:

- General Medical Council - Guidance for doctors;
- British Medical Association in respect of responding to requests to perform male circumcision;
- Royal College of Surgeons - Statement on Male Circumcision.

Recognition of Harm

Harm, in the case of male circumcision, may stem from the fact that clinical practice was incompetent, including lack of anaesthesia, or that clinical equipment and facilities are inadequate. Circumcision may constitute Significant Harm to a child if the procedure was undertaken in such a way that the child:

- Acquires an infection as a result of neglect;
- Sustains physical functional or cosmetic damage;
- Suffers emotional, physical or sexual harm in the future arising from the way in which the procedure was carried out;
- Suffers emotional harm from not having been sufficiently informed and consulted, or not having his wishes taken into account.

See Recognition of Significant Harm - Definition and Signs of Abuse Guidance.

Significant Harm is defined as a situation where a child is likely to suffer a degree of physical, sexual and/or emotional harm (through abuse or Neglect) which is so harmful there needs to be compulsory intervention by child protection agencies in the life of the child and their family.

The professionals most likely to become aware that a boy is at risk of, or has already suffered, harm from circumcision are health professionals (GP's, health visitors, A&E staff or school nurses) and childminding, day care and teaching staff.

Multi-agency / Service Response

If anyone becomes aware, through something a child discloses, or another means, that the child has been, or may be, harmed through male circumcision, a referral must be made to children's social care (see Neglect Guidance)

Children's social care should assess the degree of harm and determine whether the likely or actual harm is significant for the child in question.

Where a criminal offence is suspected, e.g. sexual abuse or unjustified deliberate injury, the police must also be notified.

Children's Social Care should also assess the risk of harm to other male children in the same family, including unborn children (see NSCP Pre-Birth Procedure and Guidance).

If any professional considers that their concerns are not being responded to appropriately, the NSCP

Case / Conflict Resolution procedure should be followed

If concerns relate to a professional or other person in a position of trust, concerns must be discussed with the Local Authority Designated Officer (LADO) (see NSCP procedures for managing allegations against staff and volunteers)

There is valid Consent from family/parents and the child, if old enough, is Gillick Competent

Role of Community/Religious Leaders

Community and religious leaders should take a lead in the absence of approved professionals and develop safeguards in practice. This could include setting standards around hygiene, advocating and promoting the practice in a medically controlled environment and outlining best practice if complications arise during the procedures.

Contributions

Faye McAllister - Deputy Designated Nurse for Safeguarding and Looked After Children

Daisy Aikins - Specialist Nurse for Safeguarding Children and MASH

Rabbi Yehuda Pink - Solihull and District Shul

Julie Hall – Northampton General Hospital

Andy Smith - Designated Officer (LADO) Northamptonshire Childrens Trust

August 2022